

MINUTES
OF THE
COUNCIL OF THE
COUNTY OF OXFORD

County Council Chamber
Woodstock
November 26, 2008

MEETING #30

Oxford County Council meets in regular session this twenty-sixth day of November 2008, in the Council Chamber, County Administration Building, Woodstock.

1. CALL TO ORDER:

7:01 p.m., with Warden Holbrough in the chair.

All members of Council present.

Staff Present: M. R. Bragg, Acting Chief Administrative Officer
L. S. Buchner, Director of Corporate Services
J. Kubiak, Corporate Manager of Human Resources
M. Metcalfe, Acting Director of Public Health and Emergency Services
M. Misek-Evans, Corporate Manager of Community and Strategic Planning
R. G. Walton, Director of Public Works
B. J. Tabor, Deputy Clerk

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

RESOLUTION NO. 1:

Moved by: Kenn. R. Howling
Seconded by: Donald Doan

That the Agenda be approved.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

3. DISCLOSURES OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND THE GENERAL NATURE THEREOF:
NIL

4. ADOPTION OF COUNCIL MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING:

Council Minutes of November 12, 2008 and November 19, 2008

RESOLUTION NO. 2:

Moved by: Kenn. R. Howling
Seconded by: Donald Doan

That the Council Minutes of November 12, 2008 and November 19, 2008 be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

5. PUBLIC MEETINGS:

RESOLUTION NO. 3:

Moved by: Kenn. R. Howling
Seconded by: Donald Doan

That Council rise and go into a public meeting pursuant to Section 17(15) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, as amended, to consider an application for Official Plan Amendment for Application No. OP 11-166, and that the Warden chair the public meeting.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried (7:02 p.m.)

1. Application for Official Plan Amendment
- Zehr and Nafziger – OP 11-166
to redesignate the subject lands from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential – described as Part of Lot 121 S/S Hope Street, Plan 307; Part 1 of 41R 544, municipally known as 192 Hope Street East, Tavistock

M. Misek-Evans, Corporate Manager of Community and Strategic Planning, summarizes the application for Official Plan Amendment – OP 11-166 as contained in Report No. 2008-323. She explains that the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and that the Council of the Township of East Zorra-Tavistock passed a resolution in support of the proposed Official Plan Amendment on November 5, 2008. M. Misek-Evans advises that Planning staff are in support of the application.

The Chair asks if there is any one in the audience wishing to speak to the application. No one indicates such intent.

The Chair asks if any members of Council wish to speak to the application. No one indicates such intent.

RESOLUTION NO. 4:

Moved by: Margaret Lupton
Seconded by: James Hayes

That Council adjourn the public meeting and reconvene as Oxford County Council with the Warden in the chair.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried (7:08 p.m.)

C-1 2008-323
Re: Application for Official Plan Amendment
OP 11-166 – Zehr and Nafziger

RESOLUTION NO. 5:

Moved by: Margaret Lupton
Seconded by: James Hayes

That the recommendations contained in Report No. C-1 2008-323, titled "Application for Official Plan Amendment - OP 11-166 - Zehr and Nafziger", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendations Contained in Report No. C-1 2008-323:

That Oxford County Council hold a public meeting pursuant to Section 17(15) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, as amended, to consider an application for Official Plan amendment for Application No. OP 11-166.

Further, that County Council approve an application to amend the Official Plan submitted by Lorne Zehr to redesignate the subject lands from 'Low Density Residential' to 'Medium Density Residential' to facilitate the construction of a 4-unit residential building as the proposed amendment maintains the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan as it pertains to residential development in the Township of East Zorra-Tavistock and that Council approve the attached Official Plan Amendment to the County of Oxford Official Plan and that the County raise the necessary implementing by-law.

2. County of Oxford Water and Wastewater Systems –
2009 Water and Wastewater Rates

The Public Meeting will be held later in the meeting due to the scheduled time OF 7:20 p.m. set for the meeting.

6. DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:

Delegations and presentations will be heard later in the meeting.

7. CONSIDERATION OF DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:

Consideration of delegations and presentations will be held later in the meeting.

8. CONSIDERATION OF CORRESPONDENCE:

1. London District Catholic School Board
October 23, 2008
Re: Request for Funding – School Twinning

RESOLUTION NO. 6:

Moved by: Stephen Molnar
Seconded by: Patrick Sobeski

That the correspondence from the London District Catholic School Board, requesting funding of \$5,000.00 for a school twinning project, be referred to Budget deliberations.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

2. Tillsonburg & District Multi-Service Centre
November 4, 2008
Re: Request for Funding

RESOLUTION NO. 7:

Moved by: Patrick Sobeski
Seconded by: Stephen Molnar

That the request for funding from the Tillsonburg & District Multi-Service Centre be referred to Budget deliberations.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

9. REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS:

A CORPORATE SERVICES

A-1 2008-54
Re: Current Market Value Property Reassessment

Report will be dealt with later in the meeting.

A-2 2008-55
Re: Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF)
2009 Allocation Update

RESOLUTION NO. 8:

Moved by: Patrick Sobeski
Seconded by: Stephen Molnar

That the recommendation contained in Report No. A-2 2008-55, titled "Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF) 2009 Allocation Update", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. A-2 2008-55:

That Report No. A-2 2008-55 titled "Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF) 2009 Allocation Update" be received for information.

A-3 2008-56
Re: OIPC Debenture Issues

RESOLUTION NO. 9:

Moved by: Patrick Sobeski
Seconded by: Stephen Molnar

That the recommendation contained in Report No. A-3 2008-56, titled "OIPC Debenture Issues", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. A-3 2008-56:

That By-law No. 5009-2008, being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon installment debentures, for a term of 10 years, in the amount of \$131,000, for the purposes of the Town of Tillsonburg, be presented to Council for enactment;

And that By-law No. 5010-2008, being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon installment debentures, for a term of 20 years, in the amount of \$36,000, for the purposes of the Town of Tillsonburg, be presented to Council for enactment;

And that By-law No. 5011-2008, being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon installment debentures, for a term of 30 years, in the amount of \$933,421, for the purposes of the Town of Tillsonburg, be presented to Council for enactment;

And that By-law No. 5012-2008, being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon installment debentures, for a term of 10 years, in the amount of \$974,249, for the purposes of the Town of Ingersoll, be presented to Council for enactment;

And that By-law No. 5013-2008, being a by-law to authorize the borrowing upon installment

debentures, for a term of 20 years, in the amount of \$1,119,962, for the purposes of the Township of Zorra, be presented to Council for enactment.

A-4 2008-57
Re: Fees and Charges By-law

RESOLUTION NO. 10:

Moved by: Sandra Talbot
Seconded by: Don McKay

That the recommendation contained in Report No. A-4 2008-57, titled "Fees and Charges By-law", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. A-4 2008-57:

That Schedule "A" to By-law No. 4889-2007, being a by-law to impose fees and charges for services that the County of Oxford provides that are not covered through direct taxation of its ratepayers, be amended.

B SOCIAL SERVICES AND HOUSING

B-1 2008-48
Re: Building Condition Assessment/Energy Audit Contract

RESOLUTION NO. 11:

Moved by: Sandra Talbot
Seconded by: Don McKay

That the recommendations contained in Report No. B-1 2008-48, titled "Building Condition Assessment/Energy Audit Contract", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendations Contained in Report No. B-1 2008-48:

That the Manager of Social Services and Housing be authorized to enter into an agreement with Virta Energy Management Services to complete Building Condition Assessments/Energy Audits in all Oxford County Housing locations;

And that, the County of Oxford Purchasing Policy be waived to allow for the timely completion of this contract for services.

5. PUBLIC MEETINGS: (continued)

RESOLUTION NO. 12:

Moved by: Margaret Lupton
Seconded by: James Hayes

That Council rise and go into a public meeting pursuant to the direction of Council to consider 2009 water and wastewater rates, and that the Warden chair the public meeting.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried (7:20 p.m.)

2. County of Oxford Water and Wastewater Systems
2009 Water and Wastewater Rates

R. Walton, Director of Public Works, explains that there are two parts to this meeting tonight. In addition to looking at what the rates need to be for the different systems, as is the usual format. He explains that over the past two years County Council directed Public Works staff to do a rates study and as a result of that Hemson Consulting was contracted to prepare a report on looking at sustainable water and sewer rates over the long term and bringing changes to the County's water and wastewater rates. He proceeds to summarize the content of Report No. D-2 2008-97 as it relates to the proposed 2009 water and wastewater rates.

R. Walton, comments on questions asked to date and provides answers. The first question is, what do the reserve funds need to be in these systems to make them sustainable. He explains that the proposal is to increase the amounts in the reserves to have the money there to do the future rehabilitation projects. Public Works staff have asked the consultants to relook at the amount that is there and to justify the amount. If the amount changes then obviously a different rate would be proposed. The proposed rates tonight are actually lower than those proposed by the consultants. He states that there will be no by-law presented tonight and that this meeting is to collect information and obtain direction of Council as to how to proceed. R. Walton explains that there will be a future meeting at which time the rates by-law and the final Hemson Study will be brought forward for consideration and adoption by County Council.

R. Walton states that it has been asked as to where other studies have been done. He explains that Hemson Consulting has done studies in townships and small towns and larger places like the Region of York and the City of Peterborough. He comments on something in the rates document that is misleading being a flat rate for water in Woodstock which won't apply when meters are put in place.

The Chair asks if there is anyone from the public wishing to speak with regard to the proposed 2009 water and wastewater rates.

Martha Dennis, General Manager, Woodstock and District Chamber of Commerce, requests clarification as to how the water reserve amount of \$112M was arrived at and the timeframe during which these monies will be spent for capital assets, whether it is over five years, 10 years, 25 years or 50 years. She asks if it is over an extended period of time, why the burden of payment is being placed on the current ratepayers over the next eight years for capital assets that are being purchased so far in the future. R. Walton explains that the Hemson Study looked at the size of the reserve fund that would be needed to sustain the system in the long term, in perpetuity, but that Public Works staff are having a second look to see the options to that.

M. Dennis, asks when the final report of Hemson will be released and also enquires as to what was the rationale for the significant increase to Woodstock rates and what methodology was used in determining the rates. She asks whether there has been an analysis of the impact on the residential, commercial and industrial sectors and what the impact will be on economic development in the City of Woodstock. M. Dennis comments regarding the communication with regard to the rate increases and that a newspaper ad is inadequate in this age of wireless communication. She explains that she is not looking for answers at this point in time, but she is asking for direct communication with each municipality and other stakeholders. R. Walton responds that the proposed timeline to come back with a rates by-law is January 2009, but that will be at the direction of Council. Generally the rates are implemented in the first quarter of each year. He points out that the methodology is spelled out in the report to Council. The assets were evaluated by the consultants based on benchmark costs, on the age of the assets and useful life. There was a cash flow projected as to when replacements will be required and the rates were then developed through that analysis. He explains that the individual impacts on the customers are analysed in the information that was presented tonight. He refers to economic development and the bar charts that he highlighted earlier showing comparisons with neighbouring municipalities and throughout the County. R. Walton comments on the communication that has been involved in this process. The communication process dates back more than a year with a report to Council on October 24, 2007 and a Public Meeting held on November 21, 2007. That Public Meeting was advertised in all the Area Municipalities, in the paper and on the website. On August 13, 2008 Council Update No. 2 was considered and then there was advertisement for this Public Meeting as well.

Roy Smith, a former Woodstock PUC Commissioner, states that he is disturbed by the magnitude of the proposed rate increases for the City of Woodstock, which, for example, is 14.7 times greater than

those proposed in the City of London. He questions why such drastic cost increases are proposed when the world and local economy are in a state of "free fall". He sees no evidence that a cost impact study was carried out prior to deciding on the proposed increases and gives examples of the effect on seniors. Employee layoffs and plant shut downs and closings give evidence that industry, commerce and the citizens of Oxford County cannot absorb the increases of the magnitude proposed. He states that the information released by County staff does not provide any detail as to how the proposed capital requirement and rate increases were arrived at and that the lack of timely communication with impacted parties precludes meaningful input into establishing new water and wastewater rates.

R. Smith comments that he was interested in hearing about the water meters going in because his next comment was that at the time the County took over the responsibility for the Woodstock water systems, the Woodstock PUC reserves were at close to the maximum funding allowed, with approximately \$5M reserved for installation of water meters and a substantial sum remaining for infrastructure improvement and well head protection. He refers to the capacity/ability of the aquifers at Sweaburg to support the future growth of the City of Woodstock and in particular in the event of increases in nitrate levels. R. Smith recommends to Council that the proposed increases be placed on hold pending a complete audit of the rate study with all stakeholders taking a constructive and active part. He states communication has been a problem.

Michael Gray comments that citizens have been hit three times as of late with MPAC increases, hydro rate increases and now water and sewer rate increases. These increases have a major impact on families and seniors. He asks whether Council has looked at other ways of getting revenue to maintain their goals. He enquires whether a certain percentage has been taken, over the last 15 or 20 years, off the total budget for reserves. R. Walton responds that, yes, a number of reserves were transferred to the County in and around the years 1995 to 2000. Particularly with the City of Woodstock there was money transferred to the County and there still is plus or minus \$4.8M in the reserve fund for the meter project. He explains that there were other reserve monies that were transferred over that have been used for acquisition of land in the Thornton/Tabor well field and other capital projects that have been implemented in the City of Woodstock. Most of the systems have some reserves, but many of them are quite small. The majority of the small systems were handed over with no reserves at all and the County has been working on a system to build those.

M. Gray states that he manages a non profit association and he is mandated to put money aside in reserves. He believes it is ludicrous that the County has not put money aside to pay for the pollution plant that needs to be rebuilt. The priority should be the pollution plant not the water meters. He would like to see the reserve money spent for that purpose rather than on meters. R. Walton states that \$6M was spent in the last six months on upgrades and increased capacity at the pollution plant.

M. Dennis comes forward to ask a question on behalf of Andrew Studzinski who is seeking clarification on the small and medium water systems that should be combined into a Township system. First of all what is small and medium and what is a Township system. R. Walton explains that the definitions of small and medium systems were actually just names applied to a group of systems. What is now known as the Township system is just another name for a system which will combine all the small and medium systems joined together. They pool the revenue and they pool the expenses for all of them and the reserve will be a pooled reserve and everyone will have the same rate in all of them.

Dave Hilderley asks whether the lower tier governments and their staff were consulted at any point in time and given an opportunity for input. R. Walton responds that there were several points of contact with the Area Municipalities being the Public Meeting of November 21, 2007 to which the Area Municipalities were served notice, tonight's Council meeting to which they were all aware and through the County Councillors that represent those municipalities.

D. Hilderley states his concern is that the lower tier governments are elected by the citizens and taxpayers and he is not sure that they have been given fair representation in terms of the study. R. Walton comments that it is a political structure. The Chair answers that when the Public Meetings were scheduled a year ago that Council members were to go back and consult with their Councils and perhaps that did not take place to its fullest. He explains that, yes, there needs to be more communication, but the study did go back to each municipality for their comment.

D. Hilderley asks a further question regarding fairness and equity with the citizens of Woodstock absorbing such a dramatic increase and wonders whether any consideration was given to a percentage increase across the County since we are all in this together. R. Walton refers to the meeting of August 13, 2008 when the Hemson Report was actually considered where the study recommended making the system a one tier system which would have made the rate the same across the County with revenues and expenditures pooled for everyone. The direction was given to staff by Council not to accept that recommendation and to go forward with four separate water systems for Woodstock, Ingersoll, Tillsonburg and the Townships combined. All of the wastewater systems, nine of them, were to be left having all of their own systems for rates and expenditures. As far as what the rates are to be, the study made recommendations and staff made alterations to those recommendations and ultimately it will be County Council's direction as to what the rates will be. This meeting is to gather input, there is no rates by-law tonight and he assumes Council will give direction as to how to move forward.

Darrin Hanna, a City of Woodstock resident, requests clarification on two items. He wonders if the rate increases include normal annual increases or if the increases suggested are to just cover the reserves. R. Walton responds that the rates cover three components, the reserves, the operating expenses and capital. D. Hanna asks whether that covers the water meters for Woodstock. R. Walton states that the money in reserves will cover the water meters. There is additional cost to the residents which falls under administration, with the implementation of meters, but those costs are built into the scenario.

Dan McNamara, a citizen of Woodstock and father of a local restaurant owner, questions the \$11M reserve and why today's taxpayer would be expected to fund that in perpetuity. R. Walton explains that the mandate Hemson was given in doing the report was to look at the legislation that is out there which requires you to capitalize and amortize all of your assets. They were to look at where reserves would need to be to sustain the systems and they have fulfilled that mandate. Questions have been asked as to why they came up with the numbers that they did and it is being looked into.

D. McNamara explains that he has been a consultant for 20 years and he understands his role as a consultant is to give advice to staff and it is for staff to make recommendations to Council and it is for Council to make decisions, not the consultant, so he would hope that someone would be peeling the onion back on the \$11M. He refers to Public Works staff having "smoothed" the 25% and he states that he agrees with Mr. Smith's comments earlier that the time is clearly not right for this type of increase. He thanks Councillor Sobeski for his transparency in providing information in the newspaper today and drawing attention to this. He comments on the 500% increase in water and sewer rates in the next eight years and questions who out there can handle a 500% increase in anything and asks whose revenues are going up at all right now. He also comments on the increase to commercial customers who could experience increases of 2.5 times their current billing by 2016. He questions how this level of rate increase could assist in attracting new investment to the City or keeping current commercial investment viable.

M. Dennis reiterates her question on the \$11M - \$12M and how far out the County plans on budgeting for that, wondering why that is being placed on the current ratepayers in the term of eight years and how the County came up with that eight years.

Tony Titizian, a citizen of Woodstock, comments that this is all based on the suggestions of Hemson and as can be seen is not very well received and has not been communicated well. The protocol followed on communication did not work and he requests that Council pass a motion tonight to get a second opinion by another firm and do it right with better communication with and input from the lower tier municipalities.

The Chair asks if there are any questions from Council members.

Councillor Harding asks R. Walton whether he is disputing his own consultant. R. Walton responds and states that rate increases were proposed that were different than those proposed in the report. Councillor Harding heard from this presentation that the rates were chopped. R. Walton states that "smoothing" of the rates does not really mean chopping, but applying in a different matter. Councillor Harding goes on to question R. Walton's description of the lower tiers' opportunity to participate in the Public Meeting. He questions whether that is the only opportunity for the lower tiers to comment and whether Mr. Walton would expect that the lower tiers would be here in the audience tonight. R. Walton

explains that there was correspondence in November of 2007 and November of this year sent to the Area Municipalities to notify them of the meetings and to ask for their comments. He explains that there has been one meeting between then and now with City of Woodstock staff, but that was the only meeting that was requested. Councillor Harding comments that that meeting was requested after the City saw the full brunt of what was facing them after the material was brought back to them. He states that certain documents were not released to them and when asked for by staff the documents were not disclosed and he wonders why that was not done. R. Walton responds that all information has been disclosed that was asked for, but the documents Councillor Harding speaks to are not finalized yet. The Hemson Report is not finalized and staff have just recently received a Draft Report which will be brought back to Council. Councillor Harding does not feel it is satisfactory that the expectation is that staff should have to show up in this Chamber to do business with the County.

Councillor Harding comments on R. Walton's term "very low reserves" and states that there is \$60M plus in reserves, so obviously we are putting money aside. He asks whether it is R. Walton's point of view that not enough reserves were set aside for the Woodstock system. R. Walton explains that there is reserve money in the high \$4M range with regard to the meter project in the City of Woodstock, but that is it. Councillor Harding asks what reserves were handed over to the County in that \$50M plus assets were handed over by the City for no cost. He states that once the County took it over that reserve requests were not made. R. Walton explains that there is a long history to this. He states that all of the water and wastewater assets in the County were transferred to the County in 1975 under restructuring, but at the time reserve dollars were not transferred. At the time there was an agreement set up for the operation and maintenance of the Woodstock wastewater plant with the City and for water with the Woodstock PUC. The County over the period of time from 1975 to 2000 was loosely involved in setting the rate structure in that rates were approved after going through the two other levels of government. He explains that in 2000 the reserves were passed over. For the wastewater system the amount was virtually zero and for the water system the amount was \$4M to \$5M. Prior to that time the rates were basically set by the City and the PUC and that is what generated those reserves which were transferred for use in those systems. Some have been used and some of them remain today. Councillor Harding questions in hindsight that there should have been greater reserves set aside by the County when it took over or not. R. Walton explains that each of the systems had their own individual reserves and since the operation and maintenance were transferred back to the Area Municipality and/or PUC, they more or less controlled the rates and the reserves for those systems. Councillor Harding asks why we are playing what appears to be catch up. R. Walton responds that the issue we have is that 20% of the infrastructure is the plants and 80% is buried under the ground and you don't notice that until it breaks or needs to be replaced. There are pieces of that infrastructure, particularly the pipes that are nearing the end of their life and we are going to see replacement of that happening in the period of time over the next decade or two decades and we need to get ready for that. Councillor Harding comments that the consultant's theory is that all the pipes are going to be gone and need to be replaced and questions when the reality kicked in that in the next ten years a significant infrastructure in Woodstock is going to fall apart and we better have money in the bank. He is having difficulty in understanding the consultant and staff's argument regarding this. R. Walton responds and comments on the data base that places an age on most all of the significant infrastructure, benchmark numbers and asset management and explains that projections are made from that.

Councillor Molnar speaks to the report and he believes that the information provided tonight is, in fact, what Council had asked for and it has led to the opportunity for debate and discussion. He comments regarding third party revenues particularly through the provincial government and mentions the Investing in Ontario Act and other programs similar to COMRIF funding in the past and asks how those funds are allocated in the proposed funding formula. R. Walton explains that that is not an easy question to answer because as those funds come forward in the future Council would have to make decisions as to how the monies are applied as the applications depend on the criteria of the programs. Councillor Molnar encourages deliberation on this topic in view of the figures that are before us and states that the application should be regional in nature in the spirit of equity.

Councillor Molnar questions whether R. Walton has a comment on the balance of general reserves in other accounts and also on the tax stabilization account in reference to the accumulation of reserves to 2016. R. Walton believes that the County has not used general reserves on water or wastewater projects.

Relative to the report that was presented and focusing on industry Councillor Molnar questions the use of the word "typical" customer with the variance in usage being so great. R. Walton explains that there is no "typical" industrial or commercial customer but it relates to the amount of flow for each of those customers for comparison purposes. Except for the smoothing of the volumetric rate for Woodstock this year, the volumetric rates are proposed to be the same for all of the systems. The difference comes down to what the fixed rate charge is. Councillor Molnar comments that there is no typical rate, but he reads six pages in the report where the word typical is used. He asks whether there are larger water users in one community that skews the typical industrial usage and asks whether that is what this is about. R. Walton responds in the negative. Councillor Molnar leaves his questions as to why the variance would be that significant as being unanswered.

Councillor Molnar questions if the proposed timing of the implementation of these fees if adopted would be anticipated to be April of 2009. R. Walton responds in the affirmative. Councillor Molnar asks whether these are the figures that have been projected into the 2009 preliminary budget. R. Walton states they are waiting for the decision tonight before doing that. Councillor Molnar asks whether the operational components would not necessarily change with the question of reserves. R. Walton replies in the affirmative and states that most operational costs stem from legislation and that the costs on that are easier to predict and are more constant.

Councillor Sobeski comments that recently the Province took back the downloading that had taken place, but when they decided to give the funding back to us they gave us very little in the beginning and backend much of the cost. He asks whether consideration would be given in the same respect, in these economic times, when the final proposal comes forward that the increase would be smaller in the beginning and larger in the end. R. Walton responds that Council could give direction in that respect, but cautions that sometimes the longer you wait the harder it becomes when you actually go to do it.

Councillor Sobeski directs a question to the Acting C.A.O. regarding the fact that there are no regulations in place. He states that Bill 175 encompasses full cost recovery and it is an asset management exercise. He asks the impact of having no regulations in this dimension. In Bill 175 there were some checks and balances, firstly with the proposal that all numbers and charts would have to go before the County's auditor for review, but because there are no regulations what would the auditor be looking for as the Bill stated the auditor must follow the instructions in the regulations. The auditor function is not there which would protect the ratepayers. The second key part of the regulations was that, related to wastewater, the Minister could specify a cap that would be placed on the increases, but with no regulations in place that protection does not exist. He states that while we are trying to follow Bill 175, with the lack of regulations perhaps this exercise is for not and that the process might be unconstitutional and asks the Acting C.A.O. to comment. M. Bragg, Acting C.A.O., states that Councillor Sobeski is correct in his reading of Bill 175 and the proposed regulations which include the protection of a cap and the examination by an auditor. He explains that the report before Council tonight was generated by previous reports, most recently August 13th. It was designed to bring the County of Oxford towards the plans of Bill 175, but the report is making no reference to Bill 175 in the recommendation and, in fact, the County has since the year 2000 annually passed by-laws to set the water and wastewater rates. Although, the structure, as Councillor Sobeski describes, does seem different this year as there is an amount of reliance put by the Director of Public Works, and his reliance on the consultants, on the expectation that Bill 170 will take effect at some point and that those regulations will exist. It is difficult to deal with the proposed capping which the Minister may impose and when that might be. M. Bragg explains that what Council is being asked to do tonight is to receive the report as information. As part of the Public Meeting process there is much input gained and the Public Works staff will take that input into consideration as they review the report. The report is also asking Public Works to develop the rates by-law which has been done since 2000, so he believes that constitutionally we are proceeding as we have done in the past and he does not believe the fact the Bill or the regulations are not in place changes that. The Municipal Act gives the County not only the ability but the requirements to charge fees for services rendered and in this case the long standing practice of setting the water and wastewater rates is what this is about. It is the process that has changed somewhat and certainly the horizon of recovering our infrastructure that goes on eight, ten or more years ahead. He states his opinion is that we are not in breach of any legislation with this report.

Councillor Sobeski's concern is that it is still built around Bill 175 and trying to guess what the regulations could be and the dangers in that there could be overpaying. M. Bragg is confident that Council will give direction on this issue.

Councillor Talbot asks whether it is not normal practice for reports such as this to go to the lower tier for comment. R. Walton comments that there have been two reports to County Council that have gone to the Area Municipalities. Councillor Talbot comments that that was not the full report. R. Walton states that there is not a final report existing yet. Councillor Talbot believes that the full report should be delivered to Council and then to the lower tier for consultation. She states that in these economic times the impact of this report can't be borne by the taxpayer and is surprised by the severity of the increases. R. Walton responds that all the information that has been presented to Council has been forwarded to the Area Municipalities and we are here tonight to seek Council's direction.

RESOLUTION NO. 13:

Moved by: Don McKay
Seconded by: Donald Doan

That Council adjourn the public meeting and reconvene as Oxford County Council with the Warden in the chair.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried (8:55 p.m.)

At 8:55 p.m. Council recesses for a break.

At 9:01 p.m. Council resumes with Warden Holbrough in the chair.

All members of Council present.

D-2 2008-97

Re: County of Oxford Water and Wastewater Systems –
2009 Water and Wastewater Rates Public Meeting

RESOLUTION NO. 14:

Moved by: Don McKay
Seconded by: Donald Doan

That the recommendation contained in Report No. D-2 2008-97, titled "County of Oxford Water and Wastewater Systems - 2009 Water and Wastewater Rates Public Meeting", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Withdrawn

Recommendation Contained in Report No. D-2 2008-97:

That Public Works Report D-2 2008-97 be received as information;

And that staff be directed to raise a by-law to set the 2009 Water and Wastewater rates as outlined in Report D-2 2008-97 for consideration at a future County Council meeting.

RESOLUTION NO. 15:

Moved by: Don McKay
Seconded by: Donald Doan

That Public Works Report No. D-2 2008-97 be received as information and further that staff be directed to consult with the lower tier municipalities.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

6. DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:

1. Linda Fulton

Larry Kropf
Dr. F. M. Byers
Tavistock Improvement Association
Re: Tavistock Lagoons – Concerns

Linda Fulton, Larry Kropf, and Dr. F. M. Byers come forward on behalf of the Tavistock Improvement Association to present concerns and suggestions regarding the Tavistock Lagoons. L. Fulton introduces the delegation explaining that she is a resident of Tavistock and along with her she has brought two busloads of people from Tavistock to the meeting. She states that Council has made decisions for Tavistock with regard to wastewater capacity and she realizes that Council is open to new ideas and strategies to make Tavistock and Oxford County a better place for all of us to live. On that note she turns the podium over to Larry Kropf and then to Dr. Byers who will both make presentations on alternatives to the Tavistock wastewater treatment expansion.

Larry Kropf, a resident of East Zorra-Tavistock, walks Council through the biological augmentation proposal, another method of treating wastewater, that he prepared with Ecological Laboratories for the Tavistock extended lagoon wastewater system as provided to Council on their Agenda. L. Kropf states that the lagoon project in Tavistock has been a very divisive issue and he would gladly participate with the Ministry of the Environment, the County and any other participants to further explore an augmentation treatment program for Tavistock's lagoons.

Dr. F. M. Byers explains that he will provide another alternative rather than just treating a waste product as waste, but looking at opportunities to convert it into resources that can be used for other purposes. He reviews with Council an electronic presentation, titled "Environment – Driven Design – In Wastewater Innovation – Leed Technologies – Waste to Energy – Revenue Streams". Page one of the presentation was provided to Council on their Agenda and the remainder of the proposal will be provided electronically. Dr. Byers believes the opportunity is there to put Tavistock on the map for the future in terms of an innovative village that could put in a waste to energy solution rather than simply a waste solution.

Warden Holbrough asks if there are any questions from Council to the presenters.

Deputy Warden McKay states that he appreciates the community involvement in bringing forward not just opposition but real potential solutions. Regarding the augmented treatment and the fact that the wastewater still has to be discharged and we are still using the three existing cells, he asks Mr. Kropf if a sand filter to polish the effluent would still be required by the Ministry of the Environment. L. Kropf responds that he believes the sand filter is still a possibility, but the Ministry of the Environment does not care how you treat the wastewater, whether it is treated through a lagoon solution or through a sand filter, as long as you are meeting their discharge requirements. He is confident that the augmentation system would meet the discharge requirements.

Deputy Warden McKay comments that he was recently on a trip and attended the Stoneyfields Farm in Lonsbury, New Hampshire, a leading edge, green establishment which is very conscious of the carbon footprint and asks Dr. Byers if he is familiar with the facility. The answer is in the affirmative. The Deputy Warden states that it is very impressive what they are doing there and comments that there was a lot of build up to get there. He commends Dr. Byers for bringing alternatives forward for consideration and suggests that the County in going ahead may have an opportunity to look at these options. He comments that as private sector companies are taking these solutions, that is where the excitement comes in. At Stoneyfields there was a real private enterprise business case, not a public case and the Deputy Warden feels there may be opportunities for their biggest industrial user in that respect. Dr. Byers agrees that Saputo has a good opportunity.

Linda Fulton thanks Council for the opportunity to bring forward suggestions on green alternatives before construction begins on another lagoon cell.

At 10:00 p.m. Council recesses for a break.

At 10:09 p.m. Council resumes with Warden Holbrough in the chair.

All members of Council present.

2. Terry Day, Municipal Relations Representative Customer Relations
Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC)
Re: 2008 Municipal Property Assessment

Terry Day, Municipal Relations Representative Customer Relations, Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC), comes forward with Tony Pileggi, Municipal Relations Representative for Oxford, Elgin and Middlesex Counties and the Cities of London and St. Thomas. Mr. Pileggi acts as spokesperson.

T. Pileggi explains that they are here tonight to discuss the latest 2008 Assessment Update and hope to enlighten everyone on the update. He proceeds to review an electronic document titled, "2008 Assessment Update – County of Oxford" which was provided to Council on their Agenda.

Warden Holbrough asks if there are any questions from Council.

Councillor Doan asks for an explanation regarding school support. He was under the impression that school support money goes into one pot and the government distributes that money per student regardless of whether it was a Catholic school or Protestant school, but if support is indicated on the Assessment Notice, as is his, that would make him think differently. T. Pileggi responds that he is not quite sure how the government distributes that money, but will get back to Councillor Doan on that matter.

Councillor Molnar asks a question regarding the appeal period being to March 31st and the phased in period for increases being four years. He may be happy with his assessment for the first year, but not thereafter and asks whether his only chance to appeal is those first three months. T. Pileggi clarifies that the assessment increases are phased in over four years and one should be paying close attention to the destination value and if you disagree with that value you should be appealing it immediately. Councillor Molnar asks whether there is only one appeal period. The answer is that there is an appeal period to March 31st of each year. T. Pileggi explains that the phase in period has been implemented to assist the property taxpayers of Ontario with dramatic increases due to reassessment, but if one does not agree with the 2008 current market assessment they should appeal immediately despite the phase in period.

Councillor Harding asks whether the rolls have been delivered to the municipalities. T. Pileggi responds in the negative and states that they will be delivered on December 9th. Councillor Harding asks why it takes so long. He states that he had to read it in the newspaper that Oxford's rates were going up 24 to 25% and wonders why MPAC can't deliver the rolls at the same time. T. Pileggi responds that the delivery of the rolls is a legislated date, the second Tuesday of the month of December. Councillor Harding states that the problem is that by the time we get the information the real impact of a MPAC reassessment is not felt until the 3rd of 4th quarter of the year and yet March 31st is the deadline for citizens to appeal. T. Pileggi explains that MPAC has done extensive work to educate the public and property taxpayers well in advance of these notices going out through media outlets and literature. They are trying to address the issue through Council meetings and public interest groups to ensure that the new legislative requirements, and especially the request for reconsideration deadlines are broadcasted on a regular basis. Councillor Harding questions why they can't suggest a change in that information through their exhaustive reviews.

Councillor Harding comments on the burden of proof aspect of the Request for Reconsideration process and that it is good news in that an individual does not have to take on the Assessment Review Board, with all its resources, to prove that the Board is wrong. He questions whether that burden of proof crosses all tax classes. T. Pileggi responds yes when it solely revolves around valuation. Councillor Harding asks how long it would take for MPAC to respond to a request for reconsideration. T. Pileggi responds that he can't forecast the exact timeline, but MPAC would not delay until such time as the September 30th deadline. He states that they will investigate on an ongoing basis all requests for reconsideration as they come in. Councillor Harding then asks for an explanation as to why it takes so long to get new product onto the rolls and gives Toyota as an example. T. Pileggi points to the resources available and that there are only so many staff that can go out and investigate new building activity. Councillor Harding explains that we have growth through assessment, but we can't get it on the books because of these delays.

7. CONSIDERATION OF DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:

RESOLUTION NO. 16:

Moved by: Stephen Molnar
Seconded by: Patrick Sobeski

That the concerns brought forward in the delegation from the Tavistock Improvement Association, regarding the Tavistock Lagoons, be received.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

A-1 2008-54
Re: Current Market Value Property Reassessment

RESOLUTION NO. 17:

Moved by: Stephen Molnar
Seconded by: Patrick Sobeski

That the recommendation contained in Report No. A-1 2008-54, titled "Current Market Value Property Reassessment", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. A-1 2008-54:

That Report No. A-1 2008-54 titled "Current Market Value Property Reassessment" be received for information.

8. CONSIDERATION OF CORRESPONDENCE:

Consideration of Correspondence was dealt with earlier in the meeting.

9. REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS: (continued)

A CORPORATE SERVICES

A-1 2008-54
Re: Current Market Value Property Reassessment

Report dealt with under Consideration of Delegations and Presentations.

A-2 2008-55
Re: Ontario Municipal Partnership Fund (OMPF)
2009 Allocation Update

Report dealt with earlier in the meeting.

A-3 2008-56
Re: OIPC Debenture Issue

Report dealt with earlier in the meeting.

A-4 2008-57
Re: Fees and Charges By-law

Report dealt with earlier in the meeting.

B SOCIAL SERVICES AND HOUSING

B-1 2008-48

Re: Building Condition Assessment/Energy Audit Contract

Report dealt with earlier in the meeting.

C COMMUNITY AND STRATEGIC PLANNING

C-1 2008-323

Re: Application for Official Plan Amendment
OP 11-166 – Zehr and Nafziger

Report dealt with under Public Meetings.

C-2 2008-331

Re: Application for Condominium Approval and
Exemption from Draft Approval
32CDM-08007 – Earth Park Developments Inc.

RESOLUTION NO. 18:

Moved by: Sandra Talbot
Seconded by: Michael Harding

That the recommendation contained in Report No. C-2 2008-331, titled "Application for Condominium Approval and Exemption from Draft Approval - 32CDM-08007 - Earth Park Developments Inc.", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. C-2 2008-331:

That County Council give approval to draft plan of condominium, File No. 32CDM-08007, submitted by Earth Park Developments Inc. for approval of a plan of condominium relating to plans prepared by IBI Group, for the lands described as Block 63, Plan 41M- 224 in the City of Woodstock.

That County Council give approval of application File No. 32CDM-08007 for exemption from draft plan of condominium for lands described as Block 63, Registered Plan 41M- 224 in the City of Woodstock as all matters relating to the development have been addressed through Site Plan Approval and a registered Site Plan Agreement.

D PUBLIC WORKS

D-1 2008-96

Re: Tower Use License Agreement

RESOLUTION NO. 19:

Moved by: Donald Doan
Seconded by: Kenn. R. Howling

That the recommendation contained in Report No. D-1 2008-96, titled "Tower Use License Agreement", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. D-1 2008-96:

That the Warden and CAO be authorized to sign a Tower Use License Agreement to allow Barrett Xplore to lease antenna space from the County on one communication tower and two buildings.

D-2 2008-97

Re: County of Oxford Water and Wastewater Rates –
2009 Water and Wastewater Rates Public Meeting

Report dealt with under Public Meetings.

E HUMAN RESOURCES

NIL

F C.A.O./CLERK

NIL

G PUBLIC HEALTH AND EMERGENCY SERVICES

NIL

H WARDEN

NIL

10. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

Councillor Doan directs a question to R. Walton, Director of Public Works, whether there will be any follow-up to the two proposals that were brought forward tonight regarding the Tavistock lagoons. He sees where Norwich will be facing the same situation as Tavistock down the road and is wondering if any research has been done on the merits of these alternatives. R. Walton responds that there has been no research done because this is the first time the presentations have been seen. He states that staff would ask direction from Council as to whether they would like the alternatives looked into.

Deputy Warden McKay supports the idea of having some feedback from staff regarding the delegations as well as input from the Ministry of the Environment. The Deputy Warden sees both presentations as great good news stories in moving ahead and does not think it derails anything that is presently being pursued. The sand filter may be required for the polishing regardless of whether augmentation is used and anything that Saputo may do the Ministry may still say they want one discharge point for the whole centre which would then put us back to the same flow which would then require the same capacity. He requests some assurance that there will be some communication with the Ministry of the Environment on these two proposals and how it may affect where we are at while we continue to move forward.

The Warden states that these points are well taken and explains that there has been consultation on this system for some time, but some of these options are just coming forward tonight.

R. Walton comments that they can bring information back to Council on the second proposal as some of those options have already been looked at in the EA process. The piece that was not looked at was energy conversion. The information that Public Works has gained in the past on ventures like this is that for something like that to occur it is likely that they would have to partner with others bringing in other types of biomass and the like to make it feasible. It would have to be industry driven for it to happen and it certainly could happen. He states that the Ministry of the Environment would not have any comment on that at all. It would have to go through the C of A process and the Ministry of the Environment would want the discharge point through the lagoon. Public Works staff can certainly bring that information forward.

R. Walton comments that there are two components to what is going on in Tavistock. There are both hydraulic and treatment issues. For four years in a row Public Works has had to apply for an extension of the discharge period because they can't meet the effluent criteria in the time that it is required to discharge. They are under the gun to do something and neither of these proposals by themselves will

solve it. He states they must forge on. He states that the second proposal could extend the life of the lagoons over time, but that might be in the five or 10 year period to extend it out beyond 25. R. Walton suggests that they can look further into the first proposal to see if it has any merit. They can bring a report back to Council, but because of difficulties with both timing and the treatment combined, the project as proposed needs to proceed and other alternations can be figured out in the final design.

Councillor Lupton thanks Public Works for the Public Meeting that was held in Tavistock. She has been the recipient of many e-mails of concern over the past three or four weeks. After the meeting she has received e-mails expressing the fact that people are feeling much better and are content with the information they received at the Public Meeting.

Councillor Hayes was also impressed with the meeting held in Tavistock with the consultant giving an excellent report. He comments on Dr. Byers' presentation and the fact that his proposal would not reduce the amount of effluent and that is our concern right now. R. Walton responds and states that that is correct. Dr. Byers' system would take the biological content out of the effluent produced by Saputo and that material would be placed in a digester and it would be anaerobically digested to produce methane which would then be turned into electricity. Public Works currently practices this technology at the Woodstock Water Wastewater Treatment Plant and in Ingersoll also. Public Works is near the threshold, if electricity prices get high enough, of getting into the cogen business, but they are not quite there. It will be the same thing when they look at the Salford Landfill, as required by the Province, and the production of methane. They will have to pump that gas and do something with it. Councillor Hayes then comments on the first proposal and states that it would be more efficient in a warmer climate. R. Walton does not feel he can comment on that, but refers to their consultant's comment at the Public Meeting that he does not think that that is an alternative for Tavistock.

Councillor Doan states that this is just a sidestep and he does not want to slow the project down.

Warden Holbrough comments about the Public meeting held in Tavistock and states that he did receive a number of comments at the meeting that the people were happy to receive the information in the format provided. The Warden states that we are trying to meet the needs of the community to allow growth for the future, deal with environmental concerns, ensure that the health and safety of the community is not a risk, deal with capital and operating costs, and move within the MOE requirements. A long time has been spent on this project and the intentions of the County have been communicated to the community and we will move on at this point.

11. NOTICE OF MOTIONS:

Councillor Harding gives notice that at the December 10th meeting he will introduce the following motion:

"Whereas, the County of Oxford has tabled a water and wastewater report with no consultation with the lower tier municipalities; and

Whereas, with the limited background material shared with City staff an initial review suggests that the rate proposal is founded on arbitrary assumptions with no basis in Provincial regulation and at a level that will place Woodstock and possibly other lower tier municipalities in Oxford at a competitive disadvantage as a place to reside and do business; and

Whereas, the rate proposals directly impact the rate payers of each of the lower tiers and the Council of the respective lower tiers are held accountable for costs of these services; and

Whereas, significant expertise is available in the lower tiers to provide comment and feedback on the study results, conclusions, assumptions and projections; and

Whereas, study results, conclusions, assumptions and projections were not shared with the lower tier staff prior to the public announcement of the study results, assumptions, conclusions and projections;

Therefore Be It Resolved, that the process of review be immediately stopped pending distribution and full disclosure of the background studies and materials to the lower tiers for review and feedback."

12. NEW BUSINESS/ENQUIRIES/COMMENTS:

NIL

13. CLOSED SESSION:

RESOLUTION NO. 20:

Moved by: Donald Doan
Seconded by: Kenn. R. Howling

That Council rise and go into a Closed session for the purpose of considering Reports No. B-1 (CS) 2008-47, No. D-1 (CS) 2008-95 and No. E-1 (CS) 2008-13 regarding matters that have not been made public concerning security of the municipality, property matters and labour relations.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried (11:04 p.m.)

Oxford County Council meets in Closed session, as part of a regular meeting, this twenty-sixth day of November 2008, in the Council Chamber, County Administration Building, Woodstock.

A. CLOSED SESSION COMMENCEMENT TIME:

11:04 p.m., with Warden Holbrough in the chair.

All members of Council present.

Staff Present: M. R. Bragg, Acting Chief Administrative Officer
L. S. Buchner, Director of Corporate Services
J. Kubiak, Corporate Manager of Human Resources
M. Metcalfe, Acting Director of Public Health and Emergency Services
M. Misek-Evans, Corporate Manager of Community and Strategic Planning
R. G. Walton, Director of Public Works
B. J. Tabor, Deputy Clerk

B. DISCLOSURES OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND THE GENERAL NATURE THEREOF:

NIL

C. DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:

NIL

D. CONSIDERATION OF CORRESPONDENCE:

NIL

E. REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS:

A CORPORATE SERVICES

NIL

B SOCIAL SERVICES AND HOUSING

B-1 (CS) 2008-47

C COMMUNITY AND STRATEGIC PLANNING

NIL

D PUBLIC WORKS

D-1 (CS) 2008-95

E HUMAN RESOURCES

E-1 (CS) 2008-13

F C.A.O./CLERK

NIL

G PUBLIC HEALTH AND EMERGENCY SERVICES

NIL

H WARDEN

NIL

F. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

NIL

G. NEW BUSINESS/ENQUIRIES/COMMENTS:

M. R. Bragg, Acting C.A.O., updates Council regarding a Public Health and Emergency Services personnel matter.

H. TIME OF COMPLETION OF CLOSED SESSION:

11:25 p.m.

RESOLUTION NO. 21:

Moved by: Donald Doan
Seconded by: Kenn. R. Howling

That Council rise and reconvene in Open session.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried (11:25 p.m.)

14. CONSIDERATION OF MATTERS ARISING FROM THE CLOSED SESSION:

A CORPORATE SERVICES

NIL

B SOCIAL SERVICES AND HOUSING

B-1 (CS) 2008-47

RESOLUTION NO. 22:

Moved by: Michael Harding
Seconded by: Sandra Talbot

That the recommendations contained in Report No. B-1 (CS) 2008-47 be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

C COMMUNITY AND STRATEGIC PLANNING

NIL

D PUBLIC WORKS

D-1 (CS) 2008-95

RESOLUTION NO. 23:

Moved by: Michael Harding
Seconded by: Sandra Talbot

That the recommendation contained in Report No. D-1 (CS) 2008-95 be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

E HUMAN RESOURCES

E-1 (CS) 2008-13

RESOLUTION NO. 24:

Moved by: Michael Harding
Seconded by: Sandra Talbot

That the recommendation contained in Report No. E-1 (CS) 2008-13 be received as information.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

F C.A.O./CLERK

NIL

G PUBLIC HEALTH AND EMERGENCY SERVICES

NIL

H WARDEN

NIL

15. BY-LAWS:

BY-LAW NO. 5009-2008

Being a By-law of the County of Oxford to authorize the borrowing upon serial debentures in the principal amount of \$131,000 for the purposes of the Corporation of the Town of Tillsonburg.

BY-LAW NO. 5010-2008

Being a By-law of the County of Oxford to authorize the borrowing upon serial debentures in the principal amount of \$36,000 for a purpose of the Corporation of the Town of Tillsonburg.

BY-LAW NO. 5011-2008

Being a By-law of the County of Oxford to authorize the borrowing upon serial debentures in the principal amount of \$933,421 for a purpose of the Corporation of the Town of Tillsonburg.

BY-LAW NO. 5012-2008

Being a By-law of the County of Oxford to authorize the borrowing upon serial debentures in the principal amount of \$974,249 for the purposes of the Corporation of the Town of Ingersoll.

BY-LAW NO. 5013-2008

Being a By-law of the County of Oxford to authorize the borrowing upon serial debentures in the principal amount of \$1,119,962 for a purpose of the Corporation of the Township of Zorra.

BY-LAW NO. 5014-2008

Being a By-law imposing special annual drainage levies upon Area Municipalities.

BY-LAW NO. 5015-2008

Being a By-law to further amend By-law No. 4932-2008 authorizing the Warden and Clerk to enter into agreement(s) for the provision of Municipal Capital Facilities for Affordable Housing by B.W. Conn Homes Ltd./Reeves Realty Corporation in the Town of Ingersoll.

BY-LAW NO. 5016-2008

Being a By-law to adopt Amendment Number 137 to the County of Oxford Official Plan.

BY-LAW NO. 5017-2008

Being a By-law to set waste management customer service payments to lower tier municipalities.

BY-LAW NO. 5018-2008

Being a By-law to authorize the Warden and C.A.O. to sign an Urban County Road Maintenance Agreement between the County of Oxford and the Town of Ingersoll.

BY-LAW NO. 5019-2008

Being a By-law to repeal By-law No. 4862-2007 and to authorize the Warden and C.A.O. to execute an extension and amendment to the current Agreement with the Oxford Community Police Services Board (OCPS) for the provision of enhanced 9-1-1 emergency response services.

RESOLUTION NO. 25:

Moved by: James Hayes
Seconded by: Margaret Lupton

That the following By-laws be now read a first and second time: No. 5009-2008, No. 5010-2008, No. 5011-2008, No. 5012-2008, No. 5013-2008, No. 5014-2008, No. 5015-2008, No. 5016-2008, No. 5017-2008, No. 5018-2008 and No. 5019-2008.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 26:

Moved by: James Hayes
Seconded by: Margaret Lupton

That the following By-laws be now given third and final reading: No. 5009-2008, No. 5010-2008, No. 5011-2008, No. 5012-2008, No. 5013-2008, No. 5014-2008, No. 5015-2008, No. 5016-2008, No. 5017-2008, No. 5018-2008 and No. 5019-2008.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

16. ADJOURNMENT:

Council adjourns its proceedings until the next meeting scheduled for Monday, December 1, 2008 at 6:00 p.m.

11:29 p.m.

Minutes adopted on December 10, 2008 by Resolution No. 5

"Paul J. Holbrough"

WARDEN

"Brenda J. Tabor"

DEPUTY CLERK