

MINUTES  
OF THE  
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF THE CORPORATION  
OF THE  
COUNTY OF OXFORD

County Council Chamber  
Woodstock  
June 28, 2006

MEETING #18

Oxford County Council meets in regular session this twenty-eighth day of June 2006, in the Council Chamber, County Building, Woodstock.

1. CALL TO ORDER:

7:02 p.m., with Deputy Warden Holbrough in the chair.

All members of Council present except Councillor Nadalin.

Staff Present: K. J. Whiteford, Chief Administrative Officer/Clerk  
L. S. Buchner, Director of Corporate Services  
J. L. Hill, Corporate Manager of Community and Strategic Planning  
J. Kubiak, Corporate Manager of Human Resources  
M. Metcalfe, Manager of Health Protection  
A. C. Orvidas, Director of Social Services and Housing  
R. G. Walton, Director of Public Works

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

RESOLUTION NO. 1:

Moved by: James Hayes  
Seconded by: Michael Harding

That the Agenda and Additional Agenda be approved, as amended, by moving Report No. H-1 2006-2 forward in the meeting to follow directly after Consideration of Correspondence.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

3. DISCLOSURES OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND THE GENERAL NATURE THEREOF:

Councillor Molnar, being the spouse of an employee of Burns, Demeyere & Associates, discloses a pecuniary interest and does not take part in the discussion or voting on:

Report No. A-3 2006-40

4. ADOPTION OF COUNCIL MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING:

Council Minutes of June 14, 2006.

RESOLUTION NO. 2:

Moved by: James Hayes  
Seconded by: Michael Harding

That the Council Minutes of June 14, 2006 be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

5. PUBLIC MEETINGS:

RESOLUTION NO. 3:

Moved by: James Hayes  
Seconded by: Michael Harding

That Council rise and go into a public meeting pursuant to Section 17(15) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, as amended, to consider an application for Official Plan Amendment for Application No. OP 11-124, and pursuant to Section 51(20) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, as amended, to consider an application for draft approval of a plan of subdivision, and that the Deputy Warden chair the public meeting.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried (7:05 p.m.)

1. Application for Official Plan Amendment OP 11-124  
- Tiffany Development Corporation  
to include special Low Density Residential policies allowing lands adjacent to Athlone Avenue to be developed for residential or community facility use; to include special High Density Residential policies allowing limited commercial use in conjunction with residential development; and to permit residential development within 20 metres (65 feet) of an existing industrial development – for lands described as Lot 14, Plan 1613 and Part Lot 17, Plan 1616 in the City of Woodstock  
Application for Draft Approval of a Residential Plan of Subdivision  
- 32T-06002 – Tiffany Development Corporation  
comprising Lot 14, Plan 1613 and Part Lot 17, Plan 1616 in the City of Woodstock

The Chair asks J. Hill, Corporate Manager of Community and Strategic Planning to highlight the nature of this application. J. Hill explains that Tiffany Development Corporation has submitted applications for an Official Plan Amendment and draft plan of subdivision approval to facilitate the development of a residential plan of subdivision comprising of 235 single-detached dwellings, one block for high density residential development, including the possibility of commercial/office space use, one block for medium density townhouse development, and one block for future residential and/or institutional use.

The proposed Official Plan Amendment intends to include special policies for Block 262 of the Draft Plan which will allow residential development in accordance with the Low Density Residential policies of the Official Plan, as well as the possibility of the lands being developed as an institutional use, specifically a church. The proposed Official Plan Amendment also intends to include special policies for Block 264 which will provide for a range of, as yet, unspecified office and commercial activity.

J. Hill goes on to note that the whole of the lands subject to this proposal comprise approximately 18.47 hectares (44.64 acres) and are currently in agricultural production. J. Hill also mentions the fact that this is the last block of undeveloped land with no draft approved plan in the south-west part of Woodstock.

J. Hill states that the Planning Office is of the opinion that this policy amendment is appropriate and should be given favourable consideration by Council. The institutional development, such as a church, would act as a transitional use between the proposed residential development to the north, and the

industrially designated lands to the south. The Planning Office also has no problem with the changes in the Official Plan policy to allow a range of office and commercial space in addition to the currently permitted high density residential development at the south-east corner of Juliana Drive and Finkle Street.

J. Hill reviews with Council the results of the agency comments. Most of the comments pertain to the draft plan of subdivision and indicate conditions that should be imposed. None of the agencies had key concerns about the Official Plan change. At the Council meeting on June 15, 2006, the City of Woodstock passed a resolution in support of the proposed Official Plan Amendment as well as the draft plan of subdivision. The applications were initially considered by the City of Woodstock Council back in May, but were deferred at that time due to some of the aggregate extraction issues pertaining to the existing licensed pit operation, west of Mill Street, in the vicinity of this proposed plan of subdivision.

J. Hill concludes his comments by indicating that the Planning Office recommends to Council that the Official Plan Amendment application be endorsed and that they will also bring forward a report at the next meeting of Council to deal with the draft plan approval.

The Chair asks if there is anyone present wishing to speak in support of the application. Walter Broos, representing the owner, indicates that he is in attendance to support the report of the Community and Strategic Planning Office.

The Chair asks if there is anyone in attendance who wishes to speak in opposition to the application. No one indicates such intent.

The Chair asks if there are any questions from members of Council, and no questions are forthcoming.

RESOLUTION NO. 4:

Moved by: Donald Woolcott  
Seconded by: Susan Hampson

That Council adjourn the public meeting and reconvene as Oxford County Council with the Deputy Warden in the chair.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried (7:11 p.m.)

C-4 2006-202  
Re: Official Plan Amendment and  
Draft Approval of a Residential Plan of Subdivision  
- OP 11-124 & 32T-06002 – Tiffany Development Corporation

RESOLUTION NO. 5:

Moved by: Donald Woolcott  
Seconded by: Susan Hampson

That the recommendations contained in Report No. C-4 2006-202, titled "Official Plan Amendment and Draft Approval of a Residential Plan of Subdivision – OP 11-124 and 32T-06002 – Tiffany Development Corporation", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendations Contained in Report No. C-4 2006-202:

That the County of Oxford hold a public meeting pursuant to Section 17(15) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, as amended, to consider an application for Official Plan amendment for Application No. OP 11-124.

That County Council approve an application by Tiffany Development Corporation to amend the County of Oxford Official Plan for lands described as Lot 14, Plan 1613 and Part Lot 17, Plan 1616 in the City of Woodstock to include special Low Density Residential policies allowing lands adjacent to Athlone Avenue to be developed for residential or community facility use; to include special High Density Residential policies allowing limited commercial use in conjunction with residential development; and to permit residential development within 20 metres (65 feet) of an existing industrial development and that Council approve Amendment No. 110 to the County of Oxford Official Plan and that the County raise the necessary implementing by-law;

That Oxford County Council hold a public meeting pursuant to Section 51(20) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, as amended, to consider an application for draft approval of a plan of subdivision; and

That Oxford County Council, at its regular meeting of July 12, 2006, consider draft plan approval of a proposed subdivision submitted by Tiffany Development Corporation (File 32T-06002) prepared by Stanford Consulting and Design Ltd. dated January, 2006, shown on Plate 2 of Report No. 2006-202 and comprising Lot 14, Plan 1613 and Part Lot 17, Plan 1616 in the City of Woodstock subject to the conditions included in Report No. 2006-202.

6. DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:

1. Brian Topp  
Wade Whittaker  
Residents of Kintore
- Re: Kintore Water Study: Background Information

Brian Topp addresses Council and speaks specifically to the letter dated June 23, 2006, which was part of the County Council agenda package. The letter signed by Brian Topp is titled, "Kintore Water Study: Background Information", and includes an eight-point chronology of events that have taken place with respect to this system since June 20, 2005. B. Topp refers to a handout which was circulated by Public Works staff at the June 20, 2005 public meeting, and he also quotes from a petition which was signed by residents as a result of the same 2005 meeting.

B. Topp's comments to Council follow the content of his June 23<sup>rd</sup> letter. He makes specific reference to the fact that the Public Works Department publicly confirmed on June 19, 2006 at a follow-up public meeting that there are five or six residences in Kintore that will not be in a position to drill their own private well. At the June 19<sup>th</sup> meeting, the Public Works Department staff also reviewed the option with the public of bringing a pipeline from Lakeside, which in B. Topp's estimation is a very arbitrary solution which would be rejected by the majority of Kintore residents.

B. Topp also makes reference to the fact that the Public Works Department has informed the residents that results of the Environmental Assessment in Kintore will be coming forth at the July 12, 2006 meeting of Council.

B. Topp also points out that he was a member of the Steering Committee that was established by the County to guide the Kintore Water Study. Apparently the Steering Committee did not vote on which option should be recommended to County Council. B. Topp questions the duty of care that the County has to the residents on private systems. He also emphasizes that this whole matter is not a water quality issue. When Kintore Box informed the residences that they were going to stop providing them with water, Kintore Box was only supplying well water with no treatment.

B. Topp stresses that no one moved to Kintore with an expectation of municipal water. The County needs to focus on coming up with a solution for those residences that cannot drill a private well. There is also a need for a mediator in this situation. At present, there is a double standard whereby private wells are a viable source of drinking water but they are being shunted aside as an option to justify the municipal pipeline system.

With the agreement of Council, B. Topp asks another resident of Kintore, Wade Whittaker, to come forth and present his comments. W. Whittaker explains that he is speaking on behalf of he and his wife, Nanci. W. Whittaker goes through four or five points as part of his June 28<sup>th</sup> notes for presentation to Council. A copy of this presentation is provided to the C.A.O./Clerk for the record. W.

Whittaker emphasizes that alternative six of the document which represents the shared water supply solution for the Kintore water situation was not given enough attention by the Public Works staff. The staff had apparently already reached the decision to push through their preferred pipeline solution from the very outset of this study. Even the comparison of alternatives seems to unfairly favour the pipeline solution.

W. Whittaker also expresses surprise at the fact that there was an April 6, 2006 meeting organized by the Public Works Department for households on the Kintore Box system. Apparently, there was a presentation at that time on potential sharing arrangements. In the view of W. Whittaker, if the County was taking this option seriously, then it should have been presented to all households on shared systems.

There is also a very definite concern in Kintore on the part of some people in the village that have drilled their own wells within the past two years, and yet that fact doesn't seem to be taken into consideration. Property values may drop if a residence is on a shared system, but that has to be weighed against the huge tax bill that will be placed on the property to pay for pipeline alternatives. W. Whittaker closes his comments by asking the County to thoroughly examine the shared supply alternative because he feels that it is a viable long-term solution and one that should be properly investigated.

The Deputy Warden asks if any members of Council have questions for the presenters.

Councillor Semeniuk reports to Council on the meeting that was held on Tuesday, June 27<sup>th</sup> involving County of Oxford Public Works staff, the Warden, Councillor Semeniuk, as well as representatives of the London Office of the Ministry of the Environment. Councillor Semeniuk comments that he was quite surprised to learn that the Province doesn't seem to really care about the cost associated with various water system alternatives. On the question of duty of care, it was also made clear at the meeting that one resident on any system of six or more can force the municipality towards a possible municipal solution. Councillor Semeniuk comments that he questions the idea of building pipelines all over the place. He also notes that there have been billboards erected in Kintore highly questioning the County's proposed solution for the water system. Councillor Semeniuk indicates that he has tried to be a mediator in the process, but has had a number of impediments in terms of getting information from staff in order to fulfill the mediation role.

Councillor Semeniuk goes on to say that he is pleased that the results of the Environmental Assessment will not be coming forward to Council on July 12<sup>th</sup>, but in fact, at a future meeting. The village of Kintore is not under any order by the Ministry of the Environment, and therefore, there is some time to look at proposals for the village including a private well system solution.

Councillor Harding picks up on the comments made by Brian Topp in his presentation when it was stated that, "no one moved to Kintore with an expectation of municipal water". Councillor Harding asks what B. Topp and other residents would do if the shoe was on the other foot. Essentially, the residents have chosen to accept a certain level of risk. W. Whittaker indicates that he will pursue a share solution before looking at any pipeline. He emphasizes again that he does not feel that all the options have been explored fairly. B. Topp responds by indicating that when he moved to Kintore he expected to take care of his own water. People do have to make choices with respect to water and how much it is actually treated. He also questions why the County has a duty of care to a specific group of residents as opposed to a farm property where drilled wells and septic systems are accepted as a matter of course.

W. Whittaker further comments on the question by Councillor Harding by emphasizing his concern with the process in this situation and how information was presented. For him personally, he will either end up on a municipal system or drill a new well. The County certainly does not have unanimity on its side in the village of Kintore and the situation currently is not fair to the majority of residents.

Councillor Hayes comments on the presentations noting that the main issue is the importance of looking at all of the options and he certainly supports this approach.

2. Gord Rolleston, Manager Design/Build, EllisDon Corporation  
Tom Tillman, Architects Tillmann Ruth Mocellin  
Re: Administration Building

Gord Rolleston takes Council through a 12-screen PowerPoint presentation regarding the progress on the County of Oxford Administration Building. He explains the project status under the headings of Building Program, Building Design, and System Design. With respect to System Design and the LEED component, G. Rolleston notes that a consultant has been engaged by EllisDon and he feels that the LEED program is on the right track.

Under the heading of Site Investigations, G. Rolleston refers to the recently completed soils report, the environmental assessment which is now recommending a Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment, and finally the surveys, both topographical and street services, which have been completed. G. Rolleston also mentions that the site plan approval process is soon to be embarked upon.

G. Rolleston then turns the presentation over to T. Tillmann who takes Council through a series of diagrams showing the site plan for the building as well as the floor plans. T. Tillmann makes special reference to the site plan and the fact that 46 parking spaces will be provided on the ground level with another 53 spaces below level. Schematic diagrams of the layout of the four floors of the building as far as the location of the various departments are also examined by Council.

At the close of the presentation, Deputy Warden Holbrough asks the members of Council if there are any questions to the delegates.

Councillor Hayes enquires as to whether a Phase One Environmental Assessment has been done on the site and G. Rolleston responds that one has been done and due to the presence of trace hydrocarbons, the consultants are recommending that a Phase Two study be done at a cost of approximately \$6,000.

Councillor Hayes goes on to enquire whether there is a liability on the previous owner of the property to provide the site in a "clean" state to the County. R. Walton, Director of Public Works, responds indicating that a Phase One Environmental Assessment had been done at the time of property transfer, and did not show any worrisome environmental issues. Since the soil testing has been done and the traces of hydrocarbons found, it is incumbent on the County to investigate any irregularities.

Councillor Semeniuk enquires as to whether the proposal for the new building is still below the maximum building area which T. Tillmann indicates was 70,000 square feet. T. Tillmann responds to the question indicating that the development plans are still below the proposed maximum.

Councillor Semeniuk also enquires about the continuing process for reports from EllisDon. T. Tillmann recites the process and mentions that there will be further appearances by EllisDon at the August meeting of Council as well as the September 13<sup>th</sup> meeting.

Councillor Harding enquires as to whether EllisDon has ever been involved with a Silver LEED building. G. Rolleston responds that EllisDon has not been involved with a building in this category. Councillor Harding emphasizes the importance of real operational savings in striving for a LEED certified building instead of just simply "going for the points". Councillor Harding even wonders whether geothermal heating of the building might be a consideration.

G. Rolleston responds indicating that the geothermal proposals would be very costly and likely inefficient for this particular building. He indicates that EllisDon is taking the Silver designation very seriously and will be striving to ensure that there are cost savings. There are cost models being done for the building and they are already showing savings in the order of 25% on operations.

3. Christene Scrimgeour, Chartered Accountant  
County Auditor  
Re: 2005 Audited Financial Reports

C. Scrimgeour comes forward to address Council and to highlight the content of the document titled "Corporation of the County of Oxford - Consolidated Financial Report, December 31, 2005" which was

distributed to members of Council as part of their agenda package. C. Scrimgeour also reviews with Council a Letter of Independence for the year 2005 and her Management Letter dated June 23, 2006 which were attachments to Report No. A -2 2006-39.

In the case of the Consolidated Financial Report, C. Scrimgeour spends some time highlighting the initial page after the cover letter where the assets and liabilities of the municipality are laid out as well as the status of the various reserves and current and capital funds. She comments specifically on the Accounts Receivable line and notes that the Corporate Services Finance section is doing a great job at dealing with the receivables by applying a concentrated effort to improve internal controls. C. Scrimgeour also focuses on the Municipal Position portion of the financial statement making special reference to Note 10 of the statement which outlines the municipal fund balances at the end of 2005.

Highlighting of the page entitled "Consolidated Statement of Municipal Activities for the Year Ended December 31, 2005" is also provided with special reference to the status of various revenue and expenditure lines on the spreadsheet. C. Scrimgeour also highlights some of the 17 Notes that are part of the financial statement and concludes her presentation of the financial statement by referring to the status of the various trust funds.

The Deputy Warden asks if there are any questions for C. Scrimgeour on the financial statement specifically.

Councillor Molnar asks about which closed landfill sites are being referred to in Note 17. The response is that this Note is applicable to Lakeside and Holbrook.

The Warden asks about the new accounting system that will have to be used by municipalities commencing at the beginning of 2009 and what affect this will have on the County. C. Scrimgeour responds that the Asset Management System and Public Sector Accounting Board procedures (PSAB) will be a nightmare to implement. Water systems, sewer systems and roads will all have to be given evaluations. From an audit standpoint, auditors will have to look to expert evaluations on the assets and that will be C. Scrimgeour's job to review those evaluations and determine their accuracy. C. Scrimgeour also comments that the future liability of employees can become a very large number in this accounting system.

The Warden goes on to ask as to how infrastructure is going to be depreciated. C. Scrimgeour indicates that this is a very big issue in accounting circles since the nuts and bolts of how this is going to be implemented will be very difficult.

C. Scrimgeour then reviews with Council her Management Letter dated June 23, 2006. She focuses specifically on the two paragraphs under the sub-title Water/Wastewater and the problems that have been incurred by the County in dealing with billings of the Town of Tillsonburg in this area. Specifically, the remittance of water/sewer billings and the expenditures that are withheld from these billings from the Town of Tillsonburg for ongoing water, wastewater and waste management services is not made on a timely basis.

Councillors Semeniuk and Talbot enquire as to the actual number applicable to the Town of Tillsonburg and C. Scrimgeour responds that it is in the neighbourhood of \$885,000.

C. Scrimgeour proceeds to finish her highlighting of the Management Letter making specific reference to the implementation of the new purchasing policy and the fact that further improvements need to be made as to how expense claims are dealt with under that policy.

At the close of the review of the Management Letter, Councillor Harding asks C. Scrimgeour whether there are any changes in the recently released amendments to the Municipal Act that would affect the County and its financial matters. C. Scrimgeour advises that the County is doing what it needs to do, both under the present Municipal Act and the proposed amendments.

7. CONSIDERATION OF DELEGATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:

RESOLUTION NO. 6:

Moved by: William Semeniuk  
Seconded by: Donald Woolcott

That the presentations by Wade Whittaker and Brian Topp, residents of Kintore, be referred to the Public Works Department for consideration as part of the EA being prepared for the village of Kintore and that the presentation of the EA occur at a future meeting of Council.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

A-2 2006-39  
Re: 2005 Audited Financial Reports

RESOLUTION NO. 7:

Moved by: Donald Woolcott  
Seconded by: Susan Hampson

That the recommendation contained in Report No. A-2 2006-39, titled "2005 Audited Financial Reports", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. A-2 2006-39:

THAT the Oxford County Consolidated Financial Report for the year ended December 31, 2005 be accepted;

AND THAT the Auditor's letter of independence for the year ended December 31, 2005 be received;

AND THAT the Treasurer coordinate improvements to the areas of weakness in the internal controls or procedures relating to information systems identified in the Auditor's 2005 Management Letter, dated June 23, 2006.

8. CONSIDERATION OF CORRESPONDENCE:

1. Mayor Michael Harding  
June 19, 2006  
Re: Foster Parenting in Oxford County

RESOLUTION NO. 8:

Moved by: Don McKay  
Seconded by: Sandra Talbot

That the correspondence from Mayor Michael Harding, City of Woodstock, encouraging the area municipalities to extend an invitation to the new Executive Director of the Children's Aid Society to visit their municipal Councils to talk about foster parenting in Oxford County, be received.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

9. REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENTS:

**H WARDEN**

H-1 2006-2  
Re: Solid Waste Collection

RESOLUTION NO. 9:

Moved by: Michael Harding  
Seconded by: James Hayes

That the recommendation contained in Report No. H-1 2006-2, titled "Solid Waste Collection", be adopted by inserting option E.

DISPOSITION: Motion Not Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 10:

Moved by: Michael Harding  
Seconded by: James Hayes

That the recommendation contained in Report No. H-1 2006-2, titled "Solid Waste Collection", be adopted by inserting option F.

DISPOSITION: A Recorded Vote is requested  
by Councillor Semeniuk with the  
following results:

Those in Favour of the Motion

Councillors Harding, Hayes, Holbrough,  
Molnar, Talbot

Total 5

Those Opposed to the Motion

Councillors Hampson, McKay, Semeniuk,  
Woolcott

Total 4

Resolution No. 10 is Carried  
Absent: 1 (Councillor Nadalin)

Recommendation Contained in Report No. H-1 2006-2:

That Oxford County Council receives Warden's Report H-1 2006-2 and directs staff to implement the recommendation adopted from the options presented, and that option \_\_\_\_\_ be the selected option.

**A CORPORATE SERVICES**

A-1 2006-38  
Re: Update on 2006 Provincial Offences Revenue

RESOLUTION NO. 11:

Moved by: Don McKay  
Seconded by: Sandra Talbot

That the recommendation contained in Report No. A-1 2006-38, titled "Update on 2006 Provincial Offences Revenue", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. A-1 2006-38:

That Report No. A-1 2006-38 regarding "Update on 2006 Provincial Offences Revenue" be received for information.

A-2 2006-39  
Re: 2005 Audited Financial Reports

Report dealt with under Consideration of Delegations and Presentations

A-3 2006-40  
Re: Tender of the 2007 Insurance Program

RESOLUTION NO. 12:

Moved by: Don McKay  
Seconded by: Sandra Talbot

That the recommendation contained in Report No. A-3 2006-40, titled "Tender of the 2007 Insurance Program", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Councillor Molnar abstains from voting  
due to pecuniary interest previously declared.

Recommendation Contained in Report No. A-3 2006-40:

That County Council direct staff to issue a tender for the 2007 Insurance program with the objective of reducing or minimizing the annual premium.

**B SOCIAL SERVICES AND HOUSING**

B-1 2006-43  
Re: Millennium Project – Status Report

RESOLUTION NO. 13:

Moved by: William Semeniuk  
Seconded by: Stephen Molnar

That the recommendation contained in Report No. B-1 2006-43, titled "Millennium Project – Status Report", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. B-1 2006-43:

That Report #B-1 2006-43, providing an update on the Millennium Project Woodstock site, be received as information.

B-2 2006-44  
Re: Child Care Services Provider – Norwich

RESOLUTION NO. 14:

Moved by: Susan Hampson  
Seconded by: William Semeniuk

That the recommendation contained in Report No. B-2 2006-44, titled "Child Care Services Provider – Norwich", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. B-2 2006-44:

That the Director of Social Services and Housing or designate be authorized to initiate a purchase of service agreement with the YMCA of London for the provision of Child Care services at the Norwich Children's Centre.

**C COMMUNITY AND STRATEGIC PLANNING**

C-1 2006-199

Re: Continued Role of the Agricultural Advisory Committee

RESOLUTION NO. 15:

Moved by: William Semeniuk

Seconded by: Donald Woolcott

That the recommendations contained in Report No. C-1 2006-199, titled "Continued Role of the Agricultural Advisory Committee", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendations Contained in Report No. C-1 2006-199:

It is recommended that County Council endorse the recommendation to expand the role of the Agricultural Advisory Committee contained in Report No. C-1 2006-199 and authorize staff to amend Schedule A to By-law 3858-99 accordingly.

It is recommended that County Council direct staff to prepare correspondence to the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs requesting further clarification of the role of Agricultural Advisory Committees in regards to the current provincial nutrient management legislation.

C-2 2006-200

Re: Bill 51 – Planning and Conservation Land Statute Law Amendment Act, 2006

RESOLUTION NO. 16:

Moved by: William Semeniuk

Seconded by: Donald Woolcott

That the recommendation contained in Report No. C-2 2006-200, titled "Bill 51 – Planning and Conservation Land Statute Law Amendment Act, 2006", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. C-2 2006-200:

That Report No. C-2 2006-200 be endorsed by County Council and be forwarded to the Standing Committee on General Government, Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing as the County of Oxford's response to Bill 51.

C-3 2006-201

Re: 2005 Annual Report of the Oxford County Agricultural Advisory Committee

RESOLUTION NO. 17:

Moved by: Sandra Talbot

Seconded by: Don McKay

That the recommendation contained in Report No. C-3 2006-201, titled "2005 Annual Report of the Oxford County Agricultural Advisory Committee", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. C-3 2006-201:

That Report Number C-3 2006-201 be received by County Council as the 2005 Annual Report for the Oxford County Agricultural Advisory Committee.

C-4 2006-202  
Re: Official Plan Amendment and  
Draft Approval of a Residential Plan of Subdivision  
- OP 11-124 & 32T-06002 – Tiffany Development Corporation

Report dealt with under Public Meetings.

**D PUBLIC WORKS**

D-1 2006-56  
Re: Tender Award – South Area Sanitary Sewers and Watermains,  
Community of Norwich, Township of Norwich

RESOLUTION NO. 18:

Moved by: Sandra Talbot  
Seconded by: Don McKay

That the recommendation contained in Report No. D-1 2006-56, titled “Tender Award – South Area Sanitary Sewers and Watermains, Community of Norwich, Township of Norwich”, be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. D-1 2006-56:

That County Council award a contract to 969774 Ontario Inc., o/a Elgin Construction, the low bidder, in the amount of \$1,949,253.29 for the construction of sanitary sewers and watermains to service the south area of the community of Norwich.

D-2 2006-57  
Re: Woodlands Conservation By-law – Amendments

RESOLUTION NO. 19:

Moved by: Sandra Talbot  
Seconded by: Don McKay

That the recommendation contained in Report No. D-2 2006-57, titled “Woodlands Conservation By-law – Amendments”, be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. D-2 2006-57:

That a by-law be raised to amend By-law No. 4489-2004, the Woodlands Conservation By-law, and to repeal By-law No. 3358-94, the by-law appointing Carol Tattersall as Tree Commissioner under the former Trees Act.

D-3 2006-58  
Re: Old Vehicle Retention

RESOLUTION NO. 20:

Moved by: Susan Hampson  
Seconded by: Donald Woolcott

That the recommendation contained in Report No. D-3 2006-58, titled "Old Vehicle Retention", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. D-3 2006-58:

That County Council receive Public Works Department Report No. D-3 2006-58 as information and direct staff to retain, for a period of one year, one of the GMC Jimmy vehicles currently destined for auction, to use that vehicle in a trial to reduce mileage expense.

D-4 2006-59  
Re: Sweaburg Area Watermain Installation Public Meeting

RESOLUTION NO. 21:

Moved by: Susan Hampson  
Seconded by: Donald Woolcott

That the recommendation contained in Report No. D-4 2006-59, titled "Sweaburg Area Watermain Installation Public Meeting", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report no. D-4 2006-59:

That County Council receive Public Works Department Report No. D-4 2006-59 as information and direct Public Works staff to use the implementation measures outlined in Report No. D-4 2006-59.

**E HUMAN RESOURCES**

NIL

**F C.A.O./CLERK**

NIL

**G PUBLIC HEALTH AND EMERGENCY SERVICES**

G-1 2006-12  
Re: Oxford County Response to Capacity Review Committee

RESOLUTION NO. 22:

Moved by: Susan Hampson  
Seconded by: Donald Woolcott

That the recommendation contained in Report No. G-1 2006-12, titled "Oxford County Response to Capacity Review Committee", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. G-1 2006-12:

That County Council endorse the attached report as the official response to the Final Report of the Capacity Review Committee: Revitalizing Ontario's Public Health Capacity (May 2006); and that the response be forwarded to the Minister of Health, the Chief Medical Officer of Health, MPP Ernie Hardeman and AMO.

G-2 2006-13  
Re: Renewal of Ontario Building Code Part 8  
(Sewage Systems) Agreements

RESOLUTION NO. 23:

Moved by: Michael Harding  
Seconded by: James Hayes

That the recommendation contained in Report No. G-2 2006-13, titled "Renewal of Ontario Building Code Part 8 (Sewage Systems) Agreements", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. G-2 2006-13:

That County Council approves the renewal of the "Sewage System Management Agreement" for services related to on-site sewage systems and land control with each of the applicable municipalities for a period of five (5) years.

G-3 2006-14  
Re: Repair or Replacement of Emergency Generator at the EMS Station located  
at 377 Mill Street in Woodstock

RESOLUTION NO. 24:

Moved by: Michael Harding  
Seconded by: James Hayes

That the recommendation contained in Report No. G-3 2006-14, titled "Repair or Replacement of Emergency Generator at the EMS Station located at 377 Mill Street in Woodstock", be adopted.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

Recommendation Contained in Report No. G-3 2006-14:

That County Council approve the expenditure of Oxford County EMS general reserves, in the amount of approximately \$30,000.00, for the repair or replacement of the emergency generator located at the Mill Street EMS station in Woodstock.

10. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

NIL

11. NOTICE OF MOTIONS:

Councillor Molnar at the April 26<sup>th</sup> meeting gave notice that at the May 10<sup>th</sup> meeting he would introduce a motion. The motion was deferred at the May 10<sup>th</sup> meeting due to the intention of the Town of Tillsonburg to organize a meeting with the County which would allow more information to be available for County Council. The motion was deferred at the May 24<sup>th</sup> meeting at Councillor Molnar's request. The motion was further deferred at the June 14<sup>th</sup> meeting at Councillor Molnar's request:

“Whereas the Council of the Town of Tillsonburg received a letter from Mr. George Ambrus, dated April 22, 2006; and

Whereas Mr. Ambrus is a resident of the Town who resides in the vicinity of the intersection of North Street and Tillson Avenue; and

Whereas Mr. Ambrus points out a number of safety concerns about the lack of signal lights at this intersection and the reasons why lights are essential from his perspective;

Now THEREFORE the Council of the Town of Tillsonburg requests the County of Oxford to proceed with the installation of traffic lights at the intersection of Tillson and North Streets in Tillsonburg and to inform the Town Council of the decision on this matter by May 23, 2006.”

The motion is withdrawn by Councillor Molnar.

Councillor Harding gives notice that at the July 12<sup>th</sup> meeting he will introduce three motions.

The first motion is as follows:

“Whereas the Government of Ontario is proposing revisions to the Municipal Act 2001;

And whereas the powers that are exclusive to the Upper Tier and Lower Tier under the existing Municipal Act are proposed to remain exclusive;

And whereas the Municipal Act 2001 does not define the term “Waste Management”;

Therefore, Be It Resolved That the County of Oxford requests the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to include a definition in the Municipal Act that clearly denotes that “Waste Management” includes garbage disposal, garbage transfer stations, recycling facilities for processing or transferring recyclables and waste reduction policy initiatives designed to divert materials from garbage disposal facilities.

And further that definitions be included for waste collection and recycling collection which includes:

- “Waste Collection” as – the curbside collection of waste materials, and includes special collections at curbside or depots for specific waste materials including household hazardous waste, large items, or items not normally permitted or included in general curbside collection for delivery to a disposal facility or transfer station;
- “Recycling Collection” as – the curbside collection of recyclable material for delivery to a recycling facility.”

The second motion is as follows:

“Whereas the Government of Ontario is proposing revisions to the Municipal Act 2001;

And whereas the powers that are exclusive to the Upper Tier and Lower Tier under the existing Municipal Act are proposed to remain exclusive;

And whereas it is the opinion of the County of Oxford that the provision of certain waste management functions can be effectively handled at either the Upper or Lower Tier;

Therefore, be it resolved that the County of Oxford requests the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing reconsider the current position that all exclusive powers under the Municipal Act 2001 remain in place; and

That Sphere 3 (Waste Management) for Oxford County be divided to retain the exclusive responsibility for disposal sites and disposal facilities including transfer sites and that the balance of waste management responsibility including but not limited to waste and recycling collection and recycling processing be a non-exclusive sphere of the jurisdiction. “

The third motion is as follows:

“Whereas revenue from Provincial Offences has dramatically decreased for the first five months of 2006;

Therefore be it resolved that the C.A.O. communicate with Oxford County police service providers concerning their willingness to enter into revenue sharing agreements in order to raise the revenue from Provincial Offences above current levels.”

12. NEW BUSINESS/ENQUIRIES/COMMENTS:

Councillor Harding advises Council that he has recently been reviewing the proposed changes to the Municipal Act as unveiled by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing within the last ten days. Councillor Harding asks the C.A.O./Clerk whether he was aware of whether provisions for changes in Section 267 of the Municipal Act regarding alternates on Council as proposed by the County of Oxford have been incorporated into the new Act. The C.A.O./Clerk indicates that he has not had an opportunity to review the proposals. Councillor Harding asks that this matter be investigated and a report made at a future meeting.

Councillor Harding also extends a challenge to all County municipalities to join with Woodstock on August 13, 2006 and exceed a 4% reduction in energy consumption on the part of all municipalities in Ontario.

Councillor Semeniuk extends an invitation to all Councillors to attend the Embro Highland Games slated for Saturday, July 1st in Embro.

Councillor Semeniuk questions the Director of Public Works, R. Walton, about the recent announcement that Empire Landfill is going to ban the disposal of biosolids in Michigan and wonders what affect that might have on the County of Oxford. R. Walton responds and indicates that Toronto has recently issued a request for municipalities across Ontario to help with disposal. There has been no specific request received by Oxford on the matter of biosolids disposal.

Councillor Semeniuk follows up this question by asking whether there is anything the County should be doing on this whole subject. R. Walton indicates that staff has looked at the capacity issue and we don't have a lot of input capacity so, therefore, the County is not likely to be a recipient of waste from Toronto. Staff continues to monitor the situation.

Warden Woolcott reports on his recent activities including a trip to Ottawa on June 15<sup>th</sup>. The Deputy Warden, the Director of Public Works and the Warden traveled to Ottawa to meet with the Parliamentary Secretary to Tony Clement who looks after infrastructure programs such as COMRIF and OSTAR. Follow-up is being pursued with the MP for the County and information will be provided in due course to Council.

On June 21<sup>st</sup>, the Warden attended a summit in Toronto organized by the Premier which was focused on strengthening Ontario's future and our country's fiscal arrangements. This summit was titled "A Strong Ontario for a Strong Canada". There were about 170 individuals at this event from all sectors of the Ontario economy. The objective was to find a more satisfactory equalization payment system between the provinces and the federal government that recognizes Ontario's role in the federation.

On the evening of June 27<sup>th</sup>, the Warden attended the retirement reception for Jim Hill, the Corporate Manager of Community and Strategic Planning. The Warden, once again, recognized the leadership skills that Jim has displayed over the years. The Warden comments that the reception was a testament to the level of respect that people show to Jim, especially in the fact that people from many sectors of Oxford's planning community attended. The Warden also states that Jim has made a lasting impression and that the words “well done” describe his career.

Deputy Warden Holbrough wishes all members of Council a very happy Canada Day in recognition of the 139<sup>th</sup> birthday of the Country. He also notes that the seventh annual Canterbury Folk Festival in Ingersoll will be held on the weekend of July 7 – 9.

13. CLOSED SESSION:

NIL

14. CONSIDERATION OF MATTERS ARISING FROM THE CLOSED SESSION:

Not Required

15. BY-LAWS:

BY-LAW NO. 4704-2006

Being a By-law to authorize the Warden and Clerk to sign a new lease agreement with Altadore Quality Hotel & Suites respecting accommodation for Tourism Oxford and to repeal By-law No. 4564-2005.

BY-LAW NO. 4705-2006

Being a By-law to authorize the Warden and Clerk to sign all documents necessary to effect the acquisition of the buildings and real property at 698 Dundas Street and 700 Dundas Street, both in the City of Woodstock.

BY-LAW NO. 4706-2006

Being a By-law to authorize the Warden and Clerk to sign an agreement for engineering design services with CH2M Hill Canada Limited for design and tendering of the Stage 1 expansion of the Woodstock Wastewater Treatment Plant.

BY-LAW NO. 4707-2006

Being a By-law to amend By-law No. 4489-2004, the Woodlands Conservation By-law and to repeal By-law No. 3358-94, the By-law appointing Carol Tattersall as Tree Commissioner.

BY-LAW NO. 4708-2006

Being a By-law to adopt Amendment Number 110 to the County of Oxford Official Plan.

BY-LAW NO. 4709-2006

Being a By-law to repeal By-law No. 4257-2002 and to set a new fee for the municipal waste collection of residential waste effective July 1, 2006.

RESOLUTION NO. 25:

Moved by: Stephen Molnar  
Seconded by: William Semeniuk

That the following By-laws be now read a first and second time: No. 4704-2006, No. 4705-2006, No. 4706-2006, No. 4707-2006, No. 4708-2006 and No. 4709-2006.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

RESOLUTION NO. 26:

Moved by: Stephen Molnar  
Seconded by: William Semeniuk

That the following By-laws be now given third and final reading: No. 4704-2006, No. 4705-2006, No. 4706-2006, No. 4707-2006, No. 4708-2006 and No. 4709-2006.

DISPOSITION: Motion Carried

16. ADJOURNMENT:

Council adjourns its proceedings until the next meeting scheduled for Wednesday, July 12, 2006 at 9:30 a.m.

10:02 p.m.

Minutes adopted on July 12, 2006 by Resolution No. 2

---

WARDEN

---

CLERK